

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,890	07/23/2003	Krzysztof Matyjaszewski	00798DIV	8886
26285	7590 01/17/2006		EXAMINER	
KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART NICHOLSON GRAHAM LLP 535 SMITHFIELD STREET PITTSBURGH, PA 15222			RABAGO, ROBERTO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FILISBORG	(I, IA 15222		1713	
			DATE MAILED: 01/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/625,890	MATYJASZEWSKI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Roberto Rábago	1713				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE IN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 No	ovember 2005.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-31</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
· · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	have been received					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •					
	application from the International Bureau	•	A III III II				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, ,,	ed.				
		·					
Attachmen	t(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate ratent Application (PTO-152)				
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/625,890 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woodworth et al. (US 6,441,066) for the reasons set forth in item 4 of the Office action mailed 7/29/2005.

Applicants' arguments filed 11/01/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants appear to place great significant on the difference between the backbone and the branches of the reference polymers. However, the reference provides suggestion for the use of the claimed ATRP method in both stages. The reference clearly states that the polymeric initiator (i.e., the backbone segment) may be made by ATRP (col. 9, lines 18-20), and states that ATRP methods optionally include an azide initiator (col. 5, lines 17-25) and states that the monomers for making the backbone segment may include ionic monomers (col. 11, lines 29-44). Furthermore, applicants have wholly ignored the previously cited disclosure wherein side-chain segment M (which is made by the disclosed ATRP method) may include ionic monomers (col. 13, lines 46-54). Applicants further argue lack of motivation to select an azide group and an ionic monomer. Regarding the azide group, this functionality is suggested among a list of only five specifically named alternatives (col. 5, lines 23-26). and selection of one from five would be immediately envisaged by one of ordinary skill in the art. Regarding ionic monomers, the reference states on several occasions that ionic monomers may be included in both the backbone and side-chain segments.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,890 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to select a method within the scope of applicants' claims because the claimed method elements have been suggested from among a reasonably small set of alternative embodiments. Finally, applicants' argument appears to imply that the specific selection of an azide-containing activator provides unexpected benefits for ATRP of ionic monomers. However, the record contains no showing of unexpected results which is commensurate in scope with the breadth of the claims or which makes any comparison with the methods disclosed in the closest prior art.

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,890 Page 4

Art Unit: 1713

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberto Rábago whose telephone number is (571) 272-1109. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roberto Rábago Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

3

RR January 10, 2006